

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/928,247	MULLEN, PATRICK W.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732	

All Participants:

(1) Mathieu D. Vargot.

(2) Mr. Thibodeau (Atty).

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 April 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

44, 45 and 55

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Minor amendments were made to claims 44, 45 and 55 for clarity. The change to claim 44 was to eliminate any lack of antecedent problem and the period was added to the end of claim 55. The dependency of claim 45 was changed to avoid a lack of antecedent problem. See the attached Examiner's amendment. .